



[Resources](#) » [Medical Ethics](#) » [AMA Code of Medical Ethics](#) » [Opinion 2.21](#)

Opinion 2.21 - Euthanasia

Euthanasia is the administration of a lethal agent by another person to a patient for the purpose of relieving the patient's intolerable and incurable suffering.

It is understandable, though tragic, that some patients in extreme duress--such as those suffering from a terminal, painful, debilitating illness--may come to decide that death is preferable to life. However, permitting physicians to engage in euthanasia would ultimately cause more harm than good. Euthanasia is fundamentally incompatible with the physician's role as healer, would be difficult or impossible to control, and would pose serious societal risks.

The involvement of physicians in euthanasia heightens the significance of its ethical prohibition. The physician who performs euthanasia assumes unique responsibility for the act of ending the patient's life. Euthanasia could also readily be extended to incompetent patients and other vulnerable populations.

Instead of engaging in euthanasia, physicians must aggressively respond to the needs of patients at the end of life. Patients should not be abandoned once it is determined that cure is impossible. Patients near the end of life must continue to receive emotional support, comfort care, adequate pain control, respect for patient autonomy, and good communication. (I, IV)

Issued June 1994 based on the report "[Decisions Near the End of Life](#)," adopted June 1991 (JAMA. 1992; 267: 2229-2233); Updated June 1996

Copyright 1995-2015 American Medical Association All rights reserved.

[Contact Us](#) | [Advertise with Us](#) | [Terms of Use](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [Code of Conduct](#) | [SiteMap](#)