**Dutch law allows euthanasia**

Dear Editor:

I am a physician who has studied assisted-suicide and euthanasia since 1988, especially in the Netherlands. I respond to Margaret Dore’s article, which quotes me for the proposition that those who believe that legal euthanasia and/or assisted suicide will assure their “choice,” are naive. (“Aid in Dying: Not Legal in Idaho; Not About Choice”). The quote is accurate. I am also very concerned to see that Compass & Choices, formerly known as the Hemlock Society, is beginning operations in Idaho to promote “aid in dying,” which is a euphemism for euthanasia and assisted-suicide.

In the Netherlands, Dutch law calls for performing euthanasia and assisted suicide with the patient’s consent. This is not, however, always done. Indeed, over time, assisted-suicide on a strictly voluntary basis evolved into allowing euthanasia on an involuntary basis. Euthanasia is also performed on infants and children, who are not capable of giving consent.

2005 is the most recent year for which we have an official report from the Dutch government. The report is “spun” to defend its law, but nonetheless concedes that 550 patients (an average of 1.5 per day) were actively killed by Dutch doctors “without an explicit request.” The report also concedes that an additional 20% of deaths were not reported to the authorities as required by Dutch law.

Compass & Choices holds out the carrot of “choice” to induce the public into believing that euthanasia and assisted suicide are somehow benign. Do not be misled.

William Reichel, M.D.
Georgetown University
Washington DC

**Article deserves clarification**

Dear Editor:

I would like to respond to the criticism received on the article recently published in the August 2010 edition of The Advocate entitled “Aid in Dying: Law, Geography and Standard of Care in Idaho.” The article was not intended to serve as legal advice or to suggest that, under the current state of the law in Idaho, physicians need not fear criminal prosecution or civil liability in this context. Rather, the message intended was that terminally-ill Idahoans should be able to request aid in dying from their physician, as is allowed in Oregon, Washington, and Montana and that arguably this option is no different than what is permitted under current Idaho legislation, which empowers Idaho citizens to refuse or direct withdrawal of life-prolonging medical treatment. The intent was simply to advocate for a clarification of the law in this manner.

I would like to further clarify that, although I provided research and editing support for the article, any views expressed in the article are those of the author and are not necessarily those of my law firm.

Christine M. Salmi
Perkins Coie, LLP
Boise, ID

**Elder abuse a growing problem**

Dear Editor:

I am the executive director of the Euthanasia Prevention Coalition, and chair of the Euthanasia Prevention Coalition International. Thank you for running Margaret Dore’s article, “Aid in Dying: Not Legal in Idaho; Not About Choice.” She correctly describes some of the many problems with physician-assisted suicide. I write to comment on elder abuse.

A 2009 report by MetLife Mature Market Institute describes elder financial abuse as a crime “growing in intensity.” (See p.16.) The perpetrators are often family members, some of whom feel themselves “entitled” to the elder’s assets. (pp. 13-14.) The report states that they start out with small crimes, such as stealing jewelry and blank checks, before moving on to larger items or coercing elders to sign over the deeds to their homes, change their wills, or liquidate their assets. (p. 14.) The report also states that victims “may even be murdered” by perpetrators. (p. 24.)

With assisted suicide laws in Washington and Oregon, perpetrators can instead take a “legal” route, by getting an elder to sign a lethal dose request. Once the prescription is filled, there is no supervision over the administration. As Ms. Dore describes, even if a patient struggled, “who would know?”

In Canada, a bill that would have legalized euthanasia and assisted-suicide was recently defeated in our Parliament, 228 to 59. When I spoke with lawmakers who voted against the bill, many voiced the opinion that our government’s efforts should be focused on helping our citizens live with dignity, rather than developing strategies to get them out of the way.

Alex Schadenberg
Euthanasia Prevention Coalition
London ON, Canada